

1313 North Market Street P O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 302 984-6000

www.potteranderson.com

Richard L. Horwitz

Partner Attorney at Law rhorwitz@potteranderson com 302 984-6027 Direct Phone 302 658-1192 Fax

April 18, 2006

BY HAND DELIVERY AND E-FILE

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. United States District Court District of Delaware 844 North King Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Re: Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al. v. Intel Corporation, et al.,

C. A. No. 05-441-JJF; and

In re Intel Corp., C.A. No. 05-1717-JJF

Dear Judge Farnan:

Following up on my February 14, 2006 letter, enclosed for Your Honor's reference is a copy of the April 12, 2006 Order of the MDL Panel transferring *Marvin D. Chance, Jr. v. Intel Corp. et al.*, C.A. 6:05-1303 (D. Kan.) to this Court.

Respectfully,

/s/ Richard L. Horwitz

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)

RLH/jmm Enclosure 728497

cc: James L. Holzman, Esquire (by eFile and hand delivery)

Robert D. Goldberg, Esquire (by eFile and hand delivery)

A. Zachary Naylor, Esquire (by eFile and hand delivery)

Scott E. Chambers, Esquire (by eFile and hand delivery) Jeffrey S. Goddess, Esquire (by eFile and hand delivery)

R. Bruce McNew, Esquire (by eFile and hand delivery)

Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire (by eFile and hand delivery)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN: Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges United States District Court Middle District of Florida

MEMBERS: Judge John F. Keenan United States District Court Southern District of New York

Judge D. Lowell Jensen United States District Court Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz United States District Court District of Maryland Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr. United States District Court Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vratil United States District Court District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit DIRECT REPLY TO:

Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel One Columbus Circle, NE Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D C 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800 Fax: [202] 502-2888

http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

April 12, 2006

TO INVOLVED COUNSEL

Re: MDL-1717 -- In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation

Marvin D. Chance, Jr. v. Intel Corp., et al., D. Kansas, C.A. No. 6:05-1303

Dear Counsel:

For your information, I am enclosing a copy of an order filed today by the Panel in the above-captioned matter.

Very truly,

Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel

Enclosure

SAN FRANCISCO
Calendar and Court Services Received: April 17, 2006
c/m# 5000312028-
Dates to be Entered
Dates to be Entered
6
/
The attorney, whose initials appear below, has reviewed the applicable court rules, and has varified that the above dates are correct.
Atty:
Date Processed: 04/17/06
Received via+ Man/InBox/Fax/Crtrun
or Other
Routed to: D. CALADANIAN
Processed by:

JPML Form 34B

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

APR 12 2006

DOCKET NO. 1717

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION IN RE INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Marvin D. Chance, Jr. v. Intel Corp., et al., D. Kansas, C.A. No. 6:05-1303

BEFORE WM. TERRELL HODGES,* CHAIRMAN, JOHN F. KEENAN, D. LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ, ROBERT L. MILLER, JR., KATHRYN H. VRATIL AND DAVID R. HANSEN, JUDGES OF THE PANEL

TRANSFER ORDER

Before the Panel is a motion brought, pursuant to Rule 7.4, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), by plaintiff in one District of Kansas action (*Chance*). Movant asks the Panel to vacate its order conditionally transferring *Chance* to the District of Delaware for inclusion in the centralized pretrial proceedings occurring there in this docket before Judge Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. Responding defendant Intel Corp. supports transfer of the action.

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, the Panel finds that *Chance* involves common questions of fact with actions in this litigation previously transferred to the District of Delaware, and that transfer of the action to that district for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of the litigation. The Panel is persuaded that transfer is appropriate for reasons expressed by the Panel in its original order directing centralization in this docket. In that order, the Panel held that the District of Delaware was a proper Section 1407 forum for actions involving allegations that common defendant Intel Corp. monopolized and unlawfully maintained a monopoly in the market for the microprocessing chips that serve as the "brains" of most modern computers. See In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation, 403 F.Supp.2d 1356 (J.P.M.L. 2005).

The plaintiff premises his opposition to transfer on his contention that federal jurisdiction is lacking in *Chance*. He urges the Panel not to order transfer before his motion to remand to state court is resolved in the Kansas federal court. Remand and other motions, if not resolved in a transferor court by the time of Section 1407 transfer, can be presented to and decided by the transferee judge. See, e.g., In re Ivy, 901 F.2d 7 (2nd Cir. 1990); In re Prudential Insurance Company of America Sales Practices Litigation, 170 F. Supp. 2d 1346, 1347-48 (J.P.M.L. 2001).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, this action is transferred

^{*}Judge Hodges took no part in the disposition of this matter.

to the District of Delaware and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr., for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there in this docket.

FOR THE PANEL:

John F. Keenan Acting Chairman